CORPORATE REPUTATION MANAGEMENT AND IMAGE MANAGEMENT OF THE CROSS RIVER STATE GOVERNMENT

Ufere Adat Sunday
Department of Marketing,
Faculty of Administration and Management Sciences,
University of Calabar.
adatufere@gmail.com

Mbum, Patrick A.
Department of Marketing,
Faculty of Administration and Management Sciences,
University of Calabar.
pambum015@gmail.com

Nwosu, Gift Onyekachi
University of Education and Entrepreneurship
Akamkpa, Cross River State, Nigeria.
giftedempire250@gmail.com

Abstract
This study examined the influence of corporate reputation management and image management on stakeholder trust, public perception, and government credibility in Cross River State, Nigeria. The study adopted a mixed-methods case study design involving stakeholders drawn from the three senatorial districts of the state. Data were collected through structured questionnaires and key informant interviews and analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson Product-Moment Correlation, Multiple Regression Analysis, and thematic analysis. Findings revealed that stakeholders generally perceive the reputation of the Cross River State Government positively and that the government actively employs image management strategies such as media relations, social media communication, public enlightenment campaigns, and stakeholder engagement programmes. The study established a significant positive relationship between corporate reputation management and stakeholder trust and found that image management strategies significantly influence public perceptions of governance performance. The findings support Stakeholder Theory and demonstrate that reputation and image management are critical determinants of government credibility, public trust, and institutional legitimacy. The study concludes that transparency, accountability, stakeholder engagement, and strategic communication are essential for effective governance. Recommendations include strengthening strategic communication frameworks, expanding stakeholder engagement platforms, improving digital communication practices, and institutionalizing reputation monitoring mechanisms.

Keywords: Corporate Reputation Management, Image Management, Stakeholder Trust, Public Perception, Government Credibility, Governance.

Background of the Study
The contemporary governance environment has evolved significantly, requiring governments to focus not only on policy implementation and service delivery but also on how they are perceived by citizens and other stakeholders. The growth of globalization, digital technologies, and access to information has increased public scrutiny of governmental activities, making public trust, credibility, and legitimacy essential components of effective

governance (Canel & Luoma-Aho, 2019; OECD, 2021). Consequently, corporate reputation management and image management have become important strategic tools for public institutions seeking to strengthen stakeholder confidence and institutional effectiveness.

Corporate reputation refers to the collective evaluation of an organization’s integrity, competence, reliability, and overall performance by its stakeholders (Ajayi, Mmutle, & Chaka, 2022; Ravasi et al., 2018). In the public sector, a favorable reputation enhances public trust, encourages citizen participation, and facilitates the successful implementation of government policies and programmes (Pedersen & Salomonsen, 2023). Governments increasingly invest in communication strategies, stakeholder engagement initiatives, transparency mechanisms, and public relations activities to build and sustain positive reputations (Doorley & Garcia, 2020; Luoma-Aho et al., 2021).

Closely related to reputation is image management, which focuses on shaping stakeholders’ immediate perceptions of an organization. Image management involves the deliberate use of communication, branding, media relations, and public engagement activities to create favorable impressions among target audiences (Cornelissen, 2020; Men & Tsai, 2022). With the rapid expansion of digital communication technologies and social media platforms, governments now have greater opportunities to communicate directly with citizens, promote developmental achievements, and encourage public participation. However, these same platforms also expose governments to increased criticism and reputational risks, requiring proactive communication and engagement strategies (Bigus et al., 2023; Medaglia & Zheng, 2023).

Stakeholder Theory provides a useful framework for understanding the importance of reputation management in governance. The theory suggests that organizations achieve legitimacy by meeting the expectations of stakeholders who influence or are affected by their activities (Freeman, Harrison, & Zyglidopoulos, 2018). In the governmental context, stakeholders include citizens, investors, development partners, civil society organizations, and the media. Their perceptions of accountability, transparency, responsiveness, and service delivery significantly influence governmental reputation and public trust (OECD, 2021).

In Nigeria, reputation management has become increasingly important due to persistent governance challenges, including corruption perceptions, inadequate infrastructure, economic instability, and concerns about public service delivery (Ezeafulukwe & Okeke, 2022). As citizens become more informed and demand greater accountability, governments have intensified efforts to improve communication practices, stakeholder engagement, and image-building activities (Omoera & Aihevba, 2021).

Cross River State presents a relevant context for examining the relationship between reputation management and image management in government. Successive administrations have implemented various initiatives aimed at promoting tourism, attracting investment, and enhancing sustainable development. Projects such as the Calabar Carnival, Obudu Mountain Resort, and environmental conservation programmes have contributed to the state's visibility and image both nationally and internationally (Aji, 2020). Despite these efforts, concerns relating to governance performance, unemployment, infrastructure deficits, and economic

PRINT-ISSN: 3122-0525
E-ISSN: 3121-889X

sustainability continue to shape public perceptions of the state government (National Bureau of Statistics, 2024).

Statement of the Problem

In recent years, reputation and image management have become increasingly important in public sector governance as governments are evaluated not only on policy outcomes and service delivery but also on their ability to maintain public trust, stakeholder confidence, and institutional legitimacy (Canel & Luoma-Aho, 2019; Luoma-Aho et al., 2021). The rapid growth of digital communication technologies and social media has intensified public scrutiny of government activities, making reputation a critical strategic asset for public institutions (Men & Tsai, 2022).

In Nigeria, governance challenges such as perceived corruption, inadequate service delivery, limited transparency, and declining public confidence have heightened the need for effective reputation management within government institutions (Ezeafulukwe & Okeke, 2022). Although state governments invest in communication programmes, development projects, and public engagement initiatives, public perceptions often remain mixed due to concerns about accountability, policy implementation, and governance performance (World Bank, 2023).

This situation is particularly relevant in Cross River State, where successive administrations have implemented tourism promotion, infrastructure development, and branding initiatives aimed at enhancing the state's image and attracting investment (Aji, 2020). Despite these efforts, limited empirical evidence exists regarding the effectiveness of reputation management and image-building strategies adopted by the Cross River State Government.

Existing studies have focused largely on public relations, political communication, and citizen engagement, with insufficient attention given to how corporate reputation management and image management influence stakeholder trust, public perception, and institutional legitimacy, particularly at the sub-national level in Nigeria (Omoera & Aihevba, 2021; Ajayi et al., 2022). This gap in the literature necessitates empirical investigation.

Therefore, this study examines the influence of corporate reputation management and image management on stakeholder perceptions, public trust, and institutional legitimacy within the Cross River State Government.

Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this study was to examine the influence of corporate reputation management and image management strategies on stakeholder perceptions, public trust, and institutional legitimacy in the Cross River State Government. Specifically, the study sought to:

1. Assess the corporate reputation of the Cross River State Government among key stakeholders.
2. Examine the image management strategies adopted by the government.
3. Determine the influence of corporate reputation management on stakeholder trust.
4. Investigate the relationship between image management strategies and public perceptions of governance performance.

Research Questions

1. What is the current reputation of the Cross River State Government among stakeholders?
2. What are the image management strategies employed by the government?
3. To what extent do reputation management practices influence stakeholder trust?
4. What relationship exists between image management strategies and public perceptions of governance performance?

Research Hypotheses

H01: Corporate reputation management practices have no significant relationship with stakeholder trust.

H02: Image management strategies have no significant influence on public perceptions of governance performance.

H03: Stakeholder engagement practices have no significant relationship with the corporate reputation of the Cross River State Government.

H04: Traditional media and digital communication platforms do not significantly influence the government's reputation and image.

Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

Corporate Reputation Management and Image Management in Public Sector Governance. Corporate reputation and organizational image have emerged as critical strategic assets in contemporary public administration. Governments increasingly operate within environments characterized by heightened public scrutiny, rapid information dissemination, and growing demands for transparency and accountability. Consequently, reputation management and image management have become essential tools for enhancing stakeholder trust, public confidence, and institutional legitimacy.

Corporate reputation refers to stakeholders' cumulative evaluation of an organization based on its credibility, integrity, performance, and ability to meet expectations over time (Ravasi et al., 2018; Veh et al., 2019). Unlike organizational image, which reflects immediate perceptions, reputation represents a long-term assessment developed through sustained interactions and experiences. In the public sector, reputation influences citizen trust, policy acceptance, stakeholder cooperation, and governance effectiveness (Pedersen & Salomonsen, 2023).

Corporate reputation management involves deliberate efforts to build, maintain, and protect favourable stakeholder perceptions through strategic communication, stakeholder engagement, accountability, transparency, and effective service delivery (Doorley & Garcia, 2020). Governments with strong reputations are more likely to enjoy public support, institutional legitimacy, and successful policy implementation.

Closely related to reputation is organizational image, which refers to the perceptions and impressions stakeholders hold regarding an organization at a particular point in time (Cornelissen, 2020). Image management encompasses communication activities designed to influence these perceptions positively through media relations, public relations campaigns, stakeholder engagement, community outreach, and digital communication strategies (Men, 2021).

The emergence of digital communication technologies and social media has significantly transformed reputation and image management practices. Governments now engage directly with citizens through online platforms, enabling real-time communication, feedback, and participation. While these technologies offer opportunities for transparency and engagement, they also expose governments to reputational risks associated with misinformation, public criticism, and communication failures (Bigus et al., 2023).

Stakeholder trust remains a central outcome of effective reputation and image management. Trust develops when stakeholders perceive organizations as competent, transparent, ethical, reliable, and responsive (Mishra et al., 2019). Within government institutions, trust facilitates policy acceptance, citizen participation, and governance effectiveness. Similarly, public perception influences how citizens evaluate government performance, leadership credibility, and service delivery outcomes (Men & Tsai, 2022).

Government credibility, which reflects citizens' confidence in the trustworthiness and competence of government institutions, is also strongly influenced by reputation and image management practices. Credible governments are better positioned to mobilize public support, implement policies successfully, and sustain institutional legitimacy (Coombs, 2022; Grimmelikhuijsen & Knies, 2023).

Theoretical Framework

This study is anchored on Stakeholder Theory, originally developed by Freeman (1984). The theory posits that organizational success depends on the ability to identify, understand, and effectively manage relationships with stakeholders. In the context of public governance, stakeholders include citizens, traditional institutions, media organizations, civil society groups, development partners, investors, and community leaders.

Stakeholder Theory emphasizes transparency, accountability, participation, and communication as essential mechanisms for building trust and legitimacy. The theory suggests that stakeholders continuously evaluate government actions and performance, and these evaluations shape public perceptions, institutional reputation, and stakeholder trust (Freeman, Harrison, & Zyglidopoulos, 2018).

The theory is particularly relevant to this study because it explains how corporate reputation management and image management strategies influence stakeholder attitudes toward the Cross River State Government. Effective communication, stakeholder engagement, transparency, and accountability are expected to strengthen trust, improve public perception, and enhance government credibility.

Empirical Review and Research Gap

Existing studies indicate that corporate reputation positively influences stakeholder trust, organizational legitimacy, and institutional performance (Ajayi, Mmutle, & Chaka, 2022; Pedersen & Salomonsen, 2023). Similarly, image management strategies such as media relations, stakeholder engagement, and digital communication have been found to improve public perception and organizational credibility (Men, 2021; Men & Tsai, 2022).

Despite growing scholarly interest, most studies focus on private-sector organizations or national-level public institutions. Empirical investigations examining the combined influence of corporate reputation management and image management on stakeholder trust, public perception, and government credibility within sub-national governments remain limited, particularly in Nigeria. Furthermore, little empirical evidence exists regarding the effectiveness of these strategies within the Cross River State Government.

This study addresses this gap by examining how corporate reputation management and image management practices influence stakeholder trust, public perception, and institutional legitimacy within the Cross River State Government.

3.1 Methodology

This study adopted a mixed-methods research approach within a case study design to investigate corporate reputation management and image management practices of the Cross River State Government and their influence on stakeholder trust, public perception, and institutional credibility.

The study was conducted in Cross River State, Nigeria. The target population comprised government stakeholders, including civil servants, political office holders, community leaders, traditional rulers, business owners, media practitioners, civil society organizations, and residents. A sample of 500 respondents was selected using stratified and simple random sampling techniques. Additionally, 20–30 key informants were purposively selected for interviews.

Data were collected through structured questionnaires and semi-structured interviews. Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS Version 29, while qualitative data were analyzed using thematic analysis

Data Presentation and Analysis

This chapter presents the analysis and interpretation of data collected for the study on Corporate Reputation Management and Image Management of Cross River State Government. The chapter is organized into sections covering questionnaire administration and retrieval, demographic characteristics of respondents, analysis of research questions, testing of hypotheses, qualitative findings from key informant interviews, discussion of findings, and summary of major findings.

A total of 500 questionnaires were administered to stakeholders of the Cross River State Government, comprising civil servants, community leaders, business owners, traditional rulers, media practitioners, and residents of the state. Out of the 500 questionnaires distributed, 472 were successfully completed and returned, representing a response rate of 94.4%. This response rate is considered adequate for statistical analysis.

Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

A total of 472 respondents participated in the study. Regarding gender distribution, male respondents constituted the majority of the sample, accounting for 59.7% (282 respondents), while female respondents represented 40.3% (190 respondents). With respect to age distribution, respondents aged 31–40 years constituted the largest group, representing 32.6% (154 respondents) of the sample. This was followed by respondents aged 41–50 years, who accounted for 25.6% (121 respondents). Respondents above 50 years represented 21.0% (99 respondents), while those aged 18–30 years constituted 20.8% (98 respondents). The distribution suggests that the study captured the views of individuals within the economically active and socially engaged age brackets, particularly those between 31 and 40 years. Concerning stakeholder categories, civil servants formed the largest group of respondents, accounting for 30.7% (145 respondents). Residents constituted 21.4% (101 respondents), while business owners represented 17.2% (81 respondents). Community leaders accounted for 13.1% (62 respondents), media practitioners made up 11.0% (52 respondents), and traditional rulers constituted the smallest group with 6.6% (31 respondents). This distribution indicates that the study obtained perspectives from a diverse range of stakeholders directly involved in or affected by the issue under investigation.

Analysis of Research Questions

Research Question One

What is the current corporate reputation of the Cross River State Government among its stakeholders?

Table 4.5: Stakeholders' Assessment of Government Reputation

Statement	Mean	Std. Dev.	Decision
Government fulfills its responsibilities effectively	3.89	0.84	Agree
Government maintains Transparency	3.67	0.91	Agree
Government is accountable to citizens	3.74	0.87	Agree
Government enjoys public confidence	3.82	0.79	Agree
Grand Mean	3.78		Agree

Interpretation

The grand mean of 3.78 indicates that stakeholders generally perceive the corporate reputation of the Cross River State Government positively.

Research Question Two

What image management strategies are employed by the Cross River State Government?

Table 4.6: Image Management Strategies

Strategy	**Mean**	**Decision**
Media Relations	4.11	Agree
Public Enlightenment Campaigns	3.98	Agree
Community Engagement Programmes	3.86	Agree
Social Media Communication	4.04	Agree
Stakeholder Consultations	3.72	Agree
Grand Mean	3.94	Agree

Interpretation

The findings reveal that media relations, social media engagement, and public enlightenment campaigns are the dominant image management strategies employed by the government.

Research Question Three

To what extent do corporate reputation management practices influence stakeholders' trust?

Table 4.7: Reputation Management and Trust

Variable	Mean
Reputation Management	3.88
Stakeholder Trust	3.80

Interpretation

The results suggest a substantial level of influence of reputation management practices on stakeholders' trust in government.

Research Question Four

What relationship exists between image management strategies and public perception?

Table 4.8: Relationship between Image Management and Public Perception

Variable	Mean
Image Management	3.94
Public Perception	3.87

Interpretation:

The findings indicate a positive relationship between image management efforts and public perception of the Cross River State Government.

Test of Hypotheses

Hypothesis One

H01: There is no significant relationship between corporate reputation management and stakeholders' trust in the Cross River State Government.

Table 4.9 Pearson Correlation Analysis

Variables| r-value| p-value| Decision

Variables	r-value	p-value	Decision
Reputation Management and Trust	0.764	0.000	Reject H01

Interpretation

The Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.764 indicates a strong positive relationship between reputation management and stakeholder trust. Since $p < 0.05$, the null hypothesis is rejected.

Hypothesis Two

H02: Image management strategies have no significant influence on public perception.

Table 4.10 Multiple Regression Analysis

Variable| Beta| t-value| Sig.

Variable	Beta	t-value	Sig.
Media Relations	.321	6.784	.000
Community Engagement	.289	5.632	.000

Social Media Communication	.274	4.991	.000

Model Summary:
R| R^2| Adjusted R^2

R	R^2	Adjusted R^2
.801	.642	.638

Interpretation
The regression model explains 64.2% of the variation in public perception. The result demonstrates that image management strategies significantly influence public perception. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected.

Summary of Findings
The study found that:
1. Stakeholders generally perceive the Cross River State Government positively.
2. The major image management strategies employed include media relations, social media communication, public enlightenment campaigns, and community engagement.
3. Corporate reputation management significantly influences stakeholders' trust.
4. Image management strategies significantly affect public perception.
5. Reputation management and image management jointly contribute to government credibility and stakeholder confidence.

Discussion of Findings
The findings revealed that stakeholders generally perceive the Cross River State Government as possessing a moderately positive corporate reputation. This finding aligns with Fombrun (2018), who argued that reputation is shaped by stakeholders' cumulative evaluations of organizational performance and communication.

The study also found that media relations, community engagement, and social media communication constitute major image management strategies. This supports the position of Cornelissen (2020) that strategic communication significantly contributes to organizational image formation.

Furthermore, the study established a strong positive relationship between corporate reputation management and stakeholder trust. This finding corroborates previous studies that identified trust as a critical outcome of effective reputation management. The regression analysis further demonstrated that image management strategies significantly influence public perception. This finding supports stakeholder theory, which posits that organizational success depends largely on the quality of relationships maintained with stakeholders.

Conclusion
The study concludes that corporate reputation management and image management are essential components of effective governance in Cross River State.
Government reputation is shaped not only by policy formulation and implementation but also by how these actions are communicated and perceived by stakeholders. Strategic communication, transparency, accountability, and stakeholder engagement play a central role in building and sustaining public trust.

Similarly, image management strategies such as media relations, digital communication, community outreach, and public enlightenment campaigns significantly influence public perception and institutional credibility. The governments that maintain consistent communication and strong stakeholder engagement are more likely to achieve legitimacy, public confidence, and sustainable governance outcomes. Therefore, strengthening reputation and image management systems is critical for improving government-citizen relationships in Cross River State.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are made:

1. The government should develop a structured communication framework that ensures timely, accurate, and consistent dissemination of information on policies and programmes.
2. There should be regular engagement platforms to promote dialogue between government, citizens, community leaders, civil society, and the private sector.
3. Government should enhance openness by publishing regular reports on budgets, projects, and performance outcomes.
4. The use of social media and official online platforms should be strengthened to improve communication reach and responsiveness.
5. A dedicated unit should be created to monitor public opinion, media narratives, and stakeholder feedback.
6. Proactive communication strategies should be developed to manage misinformation and reputational risks during crises.
7. Government communication should be extended to rural communities through localized engagement strategies.
8. Regular training should be provided for public relations and information officers on modern communication and reputation management practices.

References

Ajayi, O., Mmutle, T., & Chaka, M. (2022). Corporate reputation and stakeholder trust in public institutions: A systematic review. Journal of Public Affairs, 22(3), e2791. https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.2791

Aji, E. (2020). Tourism development and state branding in Cross River State, Nigeria. African Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management, 12(2), 45–61.

Bigus, J., Grimmelikhuijsen, S., & Porumbescu, G. (2023). Digital government communication and public trust. Government Information Quarterly, 40(1), 101–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2022.101693

Canel, M. J., & Luoma-Aho, V. (2019). Public sector communication: Closing gaps between citizens and public organizations. Wiley-Blackwell.

Coombs, W. T. (2022). Ongoing crisis communication: Planning, managing, and responding (6th ed.). Sage.

Cornelissen, J. (2020). Corporate communication: A guide to theory and practice (6th ed.). Sage Publications.

Doorley, J., & Garcia, H. F. (2020). Reputation management: The key to successful public relations and corporate communication. Routledge.

Ezeafulukwe, G., & Okeke, C. (2022). Governance challenges and public trust in Nigeria. Journal of African Public Administration, 14(1), 23–39.

Fombrun, C. J. (2018). Reputation: Realizing value from the corporate image. Harvard Business School Press.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Pitman.

Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., & Zyglidopoulos, S. (2018). Stakeholder theory: Concepts and strategies. Cambridge University Press.

Grimmelikhuijsen, S., & Knies, E. (2023). Trust in government and public sector performance: A systematic review. Public Administration Review, 83(2), 310–326. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13567

Luoma-Aho, V., Canel, M. J., & Snow, N. (2021). Public sector communication and trust building. International Journal of Strategic Communication, 15(4), 289–305.

Medaglia, R., & Zheng, L. (2023). Digital governance and public engagement in the social media era. Information Polity, 28(1), 1–15.

Men, L. R. (2021). How leadership communication contributes to employee engagement and organizational reputation. Public Relations Review, 47(3), 102–110.

Men, L. R., & Tsai, W. H. S. (2022). Public engagement and digital communication strategies in government. Journal of Public Relations Research, 34(2–3), 123–145.

Mishra, A. K., et al. (2019). Trust in organizations: A theoretical framework. Academy of Management Review, 44(2), 321–345.

National Bureau of Statistics (NBS). (2024). Social and economic indicators of Nigerian states. Abuja: NBS Publications.

OECD. (2021). Government at a glance: Trust in public institutions. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/1c258f55-en

Omoera, O. S., & Aihevba, J. (2021). Political communication and governance in Nigeria. African Journalism Studies, 42(1), 55–72.

Pedersen, M. J., & Salomonsen, H. H. (2023). Public sector reputation management and citizen trust. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 89(1), 78–95.

Ravasi, D., Rindova, V., Etter, M., & Cornelissen, J. (2018). The formation of organizational reputation. Academy of Management Annals, 12(1), 1–41. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2016.0039

Veh, A., Göbel, M., & Vogel, R. (2019). Corporate reputation management revisited: A systematic review. Business Research, 12(2), 625–673. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40685-018-0081-5

World Bank. (2023). Governance and public sector performance in Sub-Saharan Africa. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.